



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/986,683	11/09/2001	Jody J. Shapiro	1968.0030000	5864
7590	11/29/2005			
Frommer Lawrence & Haug LLP 745 Fifth Avenue New York, NY 10151			EXAMINER ISMAIL, SHAWKI SAIF	
			ART UNIT 2155	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 11/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Office Action Summary**

Application No.

09/986,683

Applicant(s)

SHAPIO, JODY J.

Examiner

Shawki S. Ismail

Art Unit

2155

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 August 2005.  
2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.  
3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 47-65 is/are pending in the application.  
4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.  
5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.  
6) ☒ Claim(s) 47-65 is/are rejected.  
7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.  
8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:  
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.  
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  
2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  
3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_.  
4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_.  
5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  
6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

## **RESPONSE TO AMENDMENT**

1. This communication is in response to the amendment received on August 29, 2005. Claims 1-46 have been cancelled. Claims 47-65 have been newly added. Claims 47-65 are pending.

### **New Grounds of Rejection**

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to the newly added claims 47-65 received on August 29, 2005 have been fully considered but they are deemed to be moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

### **Claim Rejections - 35 USC §102**

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

4. Claims 47-65 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by **Hegde et al.**, (Hegde) U.S. Patent No. **6,925,495**.

Hegde teaches delivering on-demand content to requesting device by determining attributes of the requesting device in order to increase performance

Art Unit: 2155

of the delivered content. The attributes include information relating to the operating system, media player, bandwidth parameters and the like.

5. As to claims 47, 53, 61 and 63, Hegde teaches a method of transferring requested media data over a network comprising:

receiving a request for media data from a client device (col. 9, lines 11-17, client device request content from Content Delivery Network (CDN));

sending a detection code to the client device (col. 10, lines 5-11, Server 605 sends code that is to be executed on the client device and used for determining basic attribute information of the client device);

detecting, at the client side, the media player information available on the client device by the detection code (col. 10, lines 5-11 and col. 10, lines 27-36, the code is used for determining basic attribute information which includes the type of player at the client device);

fetching the requested media data (col. 9, lines 17-35, CDN fetches the request media either from the CDN or from the origin server); and

transferring the requested media data suitable for the detected media player information to the client computer over the network (col. 9, lines 17-35 and col. 10, lines 34-37, the content is customized according to the attributes of the client device and delivered to the client device).

6. As to claims 48, 54, 59, 62 and 65, Hegde teaches the method of claim 47, 53, 57, 61 and 63, respectively, where in the media player information includes one or more media player types available on the client device (col. 10, lines 27-36).

Art Unit: 2155

7. As to claims 49, 56, 60 and 64, Hegde teaches the method of claim 47, 53, 57 and 63, respectively, wherein the media player detection code comprises logic for a string search of mimetype (col. 10, lines 27-36, the code is used to detect what media player is available on the client device from among a plurality of media players).

8. As to claim 50, 55 and 58 Hegde teaches the method of claim 47, 53 and 57, respectively, further comprising storing the detected media player information on the client device (col. 12, lines 17-42).

9. As to claim 51 Hegde teaches the method of claim 47, further comprising conducting bandwidth measurement (col. 13, lines 12-20).

10. As to claim 52 Hegde teaches the method of claim 47, wherein the suitable media data is transcoded based on the detected media player information (col. 10, lines 30-36).

### **Response to Arguments**

11. Applicant's arguments with respect to the newly added claims 47-65 received on August 29, 2005 have been fully considered but they are deemed to be moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

12. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 2155

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

### **Contact Information**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shawki S Ismail whose telephone number is 571-272-3985. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 - 5:00.


If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Saleh Najjar can be reached at 571-272-4006. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair->

Art Unit: 2155

direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Shawki Ismail  
Patent Examiner  
November 23, 2005



SALEH NAJJAR  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER